Pursuant to Ind.Appellate Rule 65(D), this Memorandum Decision shall not be regarded as precedent or cited before any court except for the purpose of establishing the defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, or the law of the case.



# **ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT**:

#### WILLIAM S. FRANKEL

Wilkinson, Goeller, Modesitt, Wilkinson & Drummy, LLP Terre Haute, Indiana

# **ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE:**

### STEVE CARTER

Attorney General of Indiana

### NICOLE M. SCHUSTER

Deputy Attorney General Indianapolis, Indiana

# IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA

D.R., Jr.,	)
Appellant-Defendant,	)
vs.	) No. 84A05-0804-JV-233
STATE OF INDIANA,	)
Appellee-Plaintiff.	)
	) )

APPEAL FROM THE VIGO CIRCUIT COURT The Honorable Christopher Gambill, Judge Pro Tempore Cause No. 84C01-0706-JD-485

**September 30, 2008** 

# **MEMORANDUM DECISION - NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

NAJAM, Judge

### STATEMENT OF THE CASE

D.R. appeals from his adjudication as a delinquent child for committing Child Molesting, as a Class B felony, and Child Molesting, as a Class C felony, when committed by an adult. He presents a single dispositive issue for our review, namely, whether the juvenile court erred when it did not make a finding concerning the need for participation by D.R.'s parent in the plan of care for D.R.

We affirm, but remand with instruction.

### FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

In June 2007, the State filed a petition against D.R. alleging his delinquency for two counts of child molesting. Following a factfinding hearing, the juvenile court adjudicated D.R. a delinquent child and ordered him committed to the Indiana Boys' School. This appeal ensued.

## **DISCUSSION AND DECISION**

D.R. contends that the juvenile court erred when it did not enter findings and conclusions in accordance with Indiana Code Section 31-37-18-9. In particular, D.R. asserts that the juvenile court did not make a finding or conclusion concerning the need for participation by D.R.'s parent in the plan of care for D.R. We must agree.

Indiana Code Section 31-37-18-9 provides:

The juvenile court <u>shall</u> accompany the court's dispositional decree with written findings and conclusions upon the record concerning the following:

- (1) The needs of the child for care, treatment, rehabilitation, or placement.
- (2) The need for participation by the parent, guardian, or custodian in the plan of care for the child.

(3) The court's reasons for the disposition.

(Emphasis added). Here, the juvenile court entered findings and conclusions, but did not make any finding or conclusion regarding the need for participation by D.R.'s parent(s) in the plan of care for D.R. The dispositional order is incomplete. Accordingly, we remand and instruct the juvenile court to amend the order to include a finding and conclusion addressing this statutory requirement.

Affirmed, but remanded with instruction.

ROBB, J., and MAY, J., concur.